



# Wisconsin oats and barley performance tests—2013

John Mochon and Shawn Conley

The Wisconsin oats and barley performance trials are conducted each year with the producer's needs in mind. Trials include released varieties, experimental lines from Wisconsin and neighboring states, and lines from private seed companies. The primary objective of these trials is to obtain data on how varieties perform in different locations and years. Growers use these data to help choose the best varieties to plant, and breeders use performance data to determine whether or not to release a new variety.

New varieties developed and released in Wisconsin are entered in the Wisconsin Certification Program. These varieties have demonstrated superior production qualities. In addition, highly rated varieties from other states may be recommended and/or certified in the state. As new varieties are released to the public, older varieties with inferior qualities are removed from the recommended list and eventually dropped from the certified list as seed production declines.

Occasionally, varieties are certified without being recommended to Wisconsin growers. Varieties in this category may include commercial varieties developed by private seed companies or varieties where there is a substantial market for Wisconsin-produced seed. Thus, in Wisconsin, recommendation and certification do not mean the same thing. Recommended varieties are those with superior in-state production performance records, while certification provides the assurance of seed purity and seed quality.

## Variety selection

Factors to consider when selecting oat and barley varieties include grain yield, maturity, straw strength (or resistance to lodging), and disease resistance. Disease ratings are performed by the University of Wisconsin–Madison Department of Agronomy. Barley growers should consider whether a variety is acceptable for malting. Several varieties are also evaluated for forage yield (tables 4 and 7).

## How the entries were tested

Varieties included in the trial are selected based upon current demand, availability, and adaptation to Wisconsin's climate. Most of these entries are commercially available. Several commercial and public cultivars were included for comparison.

Tests were conducted at seven locations using conventional tillage practices. All plots were planted at a seeding rate of 2.5–3.0 bushels per acre. Agronomic practices at all locations are listed in table 1. Tests were conducted using four replications.

**Table 1. Location and agronomics of small grain variety trials in Wisconsin**

Location	Cooperators	Soil type	Row spacing (inches)	Average nitrogen applied (lb/a)	Planting date	Harvest date
Arlington	J. Albertson, N. Brickl	silt loam	6.0	30*	March 29	July 30
Chilton	Kolbe Seeds, M. Glewen	red clay	12.0	132	April 24	August 15
Lancaster	T. Wood	silt loam	7.5	8	April 11	July 12
Madison	J. Mochon, T. Wright	silt loam	6.0	30*	April 5	July 16
Marshfield	M. Bertram, N. Esser	silt loam	6.0	40	April 11	July 19
Spooner	P. Holman	sandy loam	7.3	40	April 6	July 26
Sturgeon Bay	M. Stasiak	silt loam	12.0	69	April 24	July 24

\* Nitrogen credited from previous alfalfa or soybean.

## Growing conditions

**2012 season.** In Wisconsin, oats planted acres totaled 220,000 in 2012, up nearly 5% from 2011. There were 130,000 acres harvested—an increase of 15,000 acres from the previous year. The 2012 oats yield was 60.0 bushels per acre, down 2 bushels from the previous year. The increase in acres harvested resulted in a 9% increase in total production compared to last year. At 7.8 million bushels produced, Wisconsin was the second largest oat-producing state in 2012, after Minnesota.

Wisconsin produced 660,000 bushels of barley in 2012, down 6% from 2011 and down 54% from 2010. Area planted to barley, at 33,000 acres, was the same as last year, as was area harvested, at 15,000 acres. Yield was down 3 bushels from the previous year to 44 bushels per acre.

**2011 season.** In Wisconsin, oats planted acres totaled 210,000 in 2011, down 32% from 2010. There were 115,000 acres harvested, 55,000 acres less than previous year. The 2011 oats yield was 62.0 bushels per acre, up 4 bushels from the previous year. Despite the higher yield, the fewer harvested acres resulted in a 28% decrease in production from 2010, to a total of 7.13 million bushels. Wisconsin was the top oats producing state in 2011, above Minnesota, which was the top producer last year.

Wisconsin produced 705,000 bushels of barley in 2011, down 51% from 2010. Area planted to barley, at 33,000 acres, was down 27% from last year, while area harvested, at 15,000 acres, was down 50%. Yield was down 1 bushel from the previous year to 47 bushels per acre.

Source: USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service, [www.nass.usda.gov](http://www.nass.usda.gov).

## How performance was measured

**Yield:** After threshing, grain was weighed and yield was determined using a conversion formula. Yields are reported in bushels per acre at 8% moisture content. There are 32 and 48 pounds per bushel for oat and barley, respectively.

**Lodging:** Lodging is measured in percent. Values are rounded to whole numbers (1=none, 100=severe).

**Test weight:** Test weights were measured using a Toledo Model 3111 test weighting scale.

## Licensed varieties

The Wisconsin Agricultural Experiment Station and/or the UW–Madison Department of Agronomy has granted sole authority to the Wisconsin Crop Improvement Association to issue formal licenses for the production of certified seed of Kewaunee barley; Spooner rye; and Badger, Dane, ForagePlus, Gem, and Vista oats. The Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation has granted sole authority to the Wisconsin Crop Improvement Association to issue formal licenses for the production of certified seed of Drumlin, Esker, Kame, and Moraine oats. These grants of sole authority are intended to reinforce Plant Variety Protection (PVP) regulations and to generate research and development funds for the Wisconsin small-grain breeding program. These varieties are PVP-protected and a license is required for seed production. Each bag of seed will have a special red and white PVP/Licensed Variety tag attached or preprinted on the bag.

## Testing agencies

The small grain variety tests were conducted by the Department of Agronomy, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin–Madison in cooperation and with support from the Wisconsin Crop Improvement Association.

## Additional information

Check the following publications for additional information on small grain production and seed availability. All are updated annually.

- *Wisconsin Winter Wheat Performance Tests* (A3868), available at [learningstore.uwex.edu](http://learningstore.uwex.edu)
- *Pest Management in Wisconsin Field Crops* (A3646), available at [learningstore.uwex.edu](http://learningstore.uwex.edu)
- *The Wisconsin Certified Seed Directory*, available at [www.wcia.wisc.edu](http://www.wcia.wisc.edu)

For information on seed availability of public varieties, contact:

Wisconsin Crop Improvement Association  
554 Moore Hall  
1575 Linden Drive  
Madison, WI 53706  
(608) 262-1341  
[www.wcia.wisc.edu](http://www.wcia.wisc.edu)

**Table 2. Oat variety descriptions**

Variety	Origin	Year released	Kernel color	Maturity <sup>a</sup> (head date)	Ht <sup>b</sup> (in.)	Lodg- ing %	Test wt <sup>c</sup> (lb/bu)	Kernel protein	Disease resistance <sup>d</sup>					Licensed/ PVP <sup>f</sup>	Wis. cert.
									Crown rust	Stem rust	Sep- toria	Smut	BYDV <sup>e</sup>		
<b>RECOMMENDED VARIETIES</b>															
<b>Badger</b>	Wisconsin	2010	yellow	6–16	31	med	36.6	med	R	-	-	R	R	yes	yes
<b>Drumlin</b>	Wisconsin	2003	yellow	6–23	35	weak	34.3	med	R	IR	-	R	R	yes	yes
<b>Esker</b>	Wisconsin	2004	yellow	6–20	34	med	35.7	med	IR	IR	-	R	R	yes	yes
<b>Excel</b>	Indiana	2006	white	6–20	34	med	34.9	med	R	S	-	R	R	yes	QA*
<b>Rockford</b>	N. Dakota	2008	white	6–25	39	med	38.0	med	R	R	-	MR	R	yes	no
<b>Shelby427</b>	S. Dakota	2009	white	6–19	36	med	39.0	med/ high	R	MR	-	MR	R	yes	yes
<b>Vista</b>	Wisconsin	1999	yellow	6–23	38	weak	34.7	low	R	R	-	R	R	yes	yes
<b>OTHER VARIETIES</b>															
<b>Dane</b>	Wisconsin	1990	yellow	6–16	33	med	35.4	med	IR	IR	S	R	R	yes	yes
<b>Kame</b>	Wisconsin	2005	yellow	6–18	33	weak	32.9	med	IR	IR	-	R	IR	yes	yes
<b>Ogle</b>	Illinois	1981	yellow	6–20	34	med	34.8	low	IR	S	S	S	R	no	yes

<sup>a</sup> Maturity (month-day) as indicated by heading date in 18 Wisconsin tests conducted 2010–2012.

Varieties with generalized ratings indicate the following:  
early = before June 18, mid = June 19–21, late = after June 21.

<sup>b</sup> Height (inches) at maturity in 20 Wisconsin tests conducted 2010–2012.

Varieties with generalized ratings indicate the following:  
short = < 33 inches, med = 33–38 inches, tall = > 38 inches.

<sup>c</sup> Test weight (pounds/bushel) in 20 Wisconsin tests conducted 2010–2012.

Varieties with generalized ratings indicate the following:  
low = < 33 lb/bu, med = 33–35 lb/bu, high = > 35 lb/bu.

<sup>d</sup> Disease resistance: R = excellent resistance, IR = intermediate or very good,  
MR=moderate or good, S = susceptible or poor resistance.

<sup>e</sup> BYDV=Barley yellow dwarf virus or red leaf disease.

<sup>f</sup> PVP=Plant Variety Protection or licensed for seed production.

A “yes” indicates that these varieties can’t be grown and sold as seed without certification.

\*Q A= Quality Assurance

(-) = Information not available.

**Table 3. Oat variety grain yield comparisons in Wisconsin**

Variety	Mean	—Southern—			—Northern—			
		Arlington	Lancaster	Madison	Chilton	Marshfield	Spooner	Sturgeon Bay
<b>2012 yields (bu/a)</b>								
<b>EARLY SEASON</b>								
Badger	82	120*	107*	74*	----	91*	46	53
Dane	76	112*	85	80*	----	79	51	50
Kame	82	105	105	71	----	91*	74*	48
<b>MIDSEASON</b>								
Esker	89*	120*	114*	76*	----	102*	66	54
Excel	92*	117*	123*	83*	----	98*	86*	48
Ogle	89*	112*	116*	72*	----	98*	81*	54
Shelby427	88*	116*	110*	73*	----	87	88*	56
<b>LATE SEASON</b>								
Drumlin	85	96	104	72*	----	90*	84*	64*
Rockford	79	88	102	60	----	79	71*	74*
Vista	73	73	113*	48	----	76	69	58
Mean	84	106	108	71	----	89	72	56
LSD (0.05) <sup>a</sup>	5	11	16	11	----	13	18	13
<b>2010–2012 yields (bu/a)</b>								
<b>EARLY SEASON</b>								
Badger	84	124*	101*	98*	76	54	58	79
Dane	72	101	85	80	67	50	39	81
Kame	76	99	91	79	68	57	55	82
<b>MIDSEASON</b>								
Esker	84	107	107*	89	70	60	60	89*
Excel	87	110	99*	84	86	70	67*	90*
Ogle	83	99	98	77	72	60	73*	99*
Shelby427	90*	123*	102*	101*	78	63*	76*	86
<b>LATE SEASON</b>								
Drumlin	86	97	95	86	75	74*	79*	90*
Rockford	92*	111	109*	98*	105	67*	76*	84
Vista	82	92	103*	81	72	64*	74*	86
Mean	84	106	99	87	77	62	66	87
LSD (0.05) <sup>a</sup>	4	7	10	7	12	11	13	11

\* Varieties not significantly different from the highest yielding variety in the trial.

<sup>a</sup> The LSD (least significant difference) figures listed under the yield columns are a statistical measure of variation within the trial. If the difference in yield of two varieties is equal to or greater than LSD, the yields are significantly different. If the difference is less than the LSD, the yield difference may have been due to environmental factors.

**Table 4. Forage dry matter yield of spring oat varieties harvested at late boot/early heading**

Variety	Yield (t/a)			Harvest date June	Crude protein (%)	RFQ <sup>a</sup>	Yield (t/a)	Harvest date June
	Madison	Arlington	Mean					
	-----2012-----				-----2010-2012-----			
<b>ForagePlus</b>	2.20*	2.00	2.10*	6-15	13.0*	124.6*	2.30	6-24
<b>Rockford</b>	1.80*	1.50	1.65	6-10	13.8*	130.6*	1.74	6-19
<b>Vista</b>	2.20*	1.70	1.95*	6-9	13.9*	123.5*	1.82	6-17
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	0.40	0.29	0.24		1.14	9.82	0.17	

<sup>a</sup>RFQ = Relative forage quality. Relative forage quality values can be used to make comparisons among varieties listed in this table, but should not be used to compare with other crops, such as alfalfa.

\* Varieties not significantly different from the highest yielding variety in the trial.

**Table 5. Barley variety descriptions**

Variety	Origin	Year re-leased	Awns	Quality	Maturity <sup>a</sup> (head date)	Ht <sup>b</sup> (in.)	Lodging <sup>c</sup> (%)	Test wt <sup>d</sup> (lb/bu)	Disease resistance <sup>e</sup>							
									Crown rust	Stem rust	Loose smut	Powd. mildew	Spot blotch	Licensed/PVP <sup>f</sup>	Wis. cert.	
<b>RECOMMENDED VARIETIES</b>																
<b>Kewaunee</b>	Wisconsin	1997	Smooth	feed	6-17	30	med	42.7	R	R	--	IR	R	yes	yes	
<b>Pinnacle</b>	N. Dakota	2008	Smooth	malt	6-19	29	med	44.5	--	--	--	--	MR	yes	yes	
<b>Quest</b>	Minnesota	2010	Smooth	malt	6-18	31	med	44.4	--	R	--	IR	R	yes	no	
<b>Rasmusson</b>	Minnesota	2008	Semi-smooth	malt	6-17	28	med	44.7	--	R	--	IR	R	yes	yes	
<b>OTHER VARIETIES</b>																
<b>Stander</b>	Minnesota	1993	Smooth	feed	6-17	28	med	44.3	--	R	S	S	R	yes	no	

<sup>a</sup> Maturity (month-day) as indicated by heading date in 18 Wisconsin tests conducted 2010-2012. Varieties with generalized ratings indicate the following: early = before June 21, mid = June 21-25, late = after June 25.

<sup>b</sup> Height (inches) at maturity in 20 Wisconsin tests conducted 2010-2012. Varieties with generalized ratings were included in other tests and indicate the following: short = < 30 inches, med = 30-36 inches, tall = > 36 inches.

<sup>c</sup> Lodging: strong = < 15%, med = 15-35%, weak = > 35%.

<sup>d</sup> Test weight (pounds/bushel) in 19 Wisconsin tests conducted 2010-2012. Varieties with generalized ratings were included in other tests and indicate the following: low = < 42 lb/bu, med = 42-46 lb/bu, high = > 46 lb/bu.

<sup>e</sup> Disease resistance: R = excellent resistance, IR = intermediate or very good, MR=moderate or good, S = susceptible or poor resistance.

<sup>f</sup> PVP = Plant Variety Protection or licensed for seed production. A "yes" indicates that these varieties cannot be reproduced and sold as seed without certification.

(--) = Information not available.

**Table 6. Barley variety grain yield comparisons in Wisconsin**

Variety	Mean	Southern			Northern			
		Arlington	Lancaster	Madison	Chilton	Marshfield	Spooner	Sturgeon Bay
<b>2012 YIELDS</b>		<b>2012 yields (bu /a)</b>						
<b>Kewaunee</b>	38	47	43*	33*	----	55*	26*	25*
<b>Pinnacle</b>	34	46	36	23	----	53*	18	31*
<b>Quest</b>	44*	58*	50*	34*	----	60*	35*	25*
<b>Rasmusson</b>	44*	67*	47*	36*	----	57*	30*	25*
<b>Stander</b>	36	55	35	31*	----	51*	21	24*
<b>Mean</b>	39	54	42	31	----	55	26	26
<b>LSD (0.05)<sup>a</sup></b>	4	9	10	10	----	11	10	8
<b>HISTORIC YIELDS</b>		<b>2010–2012 yields (bu/a)</b>						
<b>Kewaunee</b>	48	73	49	57*	39*	52*	34*	29
<b>Pinnacle</b>	48	69	50	48*	41*	57*	35*	35*
<b>Quest</b>	50*	78*	53	53*	41*	56*	39*	30*
<b>Rasmusson</b>	51*	79*	61*	55*	45*	51*	36*	30*
<b>Stander</b>	48	73	54*	55*	39*	46	34*	30*
<b>Mean</b>	49	74	53	54	41	52	36	31
<b>LSD (0.05)<sup>a</sup></b>	2	5	7	10	7	7	6	5

\* Varieties not significantly different from highest yielding variety in the trial.

<sup>a</sup> The LSD (least significant difference) figures listed under the yield columns are a statistical measure of variation within the trial. If the difference in yield of two varieties is equal to or greater than the LSD, then the yields are significantly different. If the difference is less than the LSD, then the yield difference may have been due to environmental factors.

**Table 7. Forage dry matter yield of spring barley varieties harvested at late boot/early heading**

Variety	Yield (t/a)			Harvest date June	Crude protein (%)	RFQ <sup>a</sup>	Yield (t/a)	Harvest date June
	Madison	Arlington	Mean					
		2012			2010–2012			
<b>Kewaunee</b>	1.60*	1.10*	1.35*	6–4	14.8*	134.5*	1.44*	6–12
<b>Westford</b>	1.30*	1.20*	1.25*	6–13	14.0*	132.8*	1.50*	6–21
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	0.40	0.29	0.24		1.14	9.82	0.17	

<sup>a</sup> RFQ=Relative forage quality. Relative forage quality values can be used to make comparisons among varieties, but should not be used to compare with other crops, such as alfalfa.

\* Varieties not significantly different from the highest yielding variety in the trial.



**Copyright © 2012** University of Wisconsin System Board of Regents and University of Wisconsin-Extension, Cooperative Extension. All rights reserved.

**Authors:** John Mochon is the small grains program manager and Shawn Conley is an associate professor in agronomy, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin–Madison. Dr. Conley also holds an appointment with UW-Extension, Cooperative Extension. Cooperative Extension Publications are subject to peer review.

**University of Wisconsin-Extension,** Cooperative Extension, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and Wisconsin counties, publishes this information to further the purpose of the May 8 and June 30, 1914 Acts of Congress. An EEO/AA employer, the University of Wisconsin-Extension, Cooperative Extension provides equal opportunities in employment and programming, including Title IX and ADA requirements. If you need this information in an alternative format, contact Equal Opportunity and Diversity Programs, University of Wisconsin-Extension, 432 N. Lake St., Rm. 501, Madison, WI 53706, diversity@uwex.edu, phone: (608) 262-0277, fax: (608) 262-8404, TTY: 711 Wisconsin Relay.

**This publication is available** from your county UW-Extension office ([www.uwex.edu/ces/cty](http://www.uwex.edu/ces/cty)) or from Cooperative Extension Publishing. To order, call toll-free: 1-877-WIS-PUBS (1-877-947-7827) or visit our website: [learningstore.uwex.edu](http://learningstore.uwex.edu).